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Oxygen atom transfers involving terminal metal-oxo functionalities are central to many biological transformations, ${ }^{1}$ prominent in applications to organic synthesis, ${ }^{2-4}$ and of increasing importance in inorganic systems as synthetic tools, ${ }^{5-7}$ objectives in biomimicry, ${ }^{1,8,9}$ and targets of fundamental studies. ${ }^{5-13}$ As a synthetic route to $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{WN}\left(4\right.$, silox $\left.={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SiO}\right)$, the deoxygenation of (silox) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{WNO}$ (2) by $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathbf{1 - T a})$ was attempted without success, despite ample precedent in cleavages of epoxides, ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NO},{ }^{11} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CO} .{ }^{12} \mathrm{~A}$ comparison study involving sources of $\mathrm{M}(\text { silox })_{3}(1-\mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Ta})$ revealed that features of deoxygenations of 2 and $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}$, $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$ are the consequences of electronic effects enforced by a limiting steric environment.

Table 1. summarizes the deoxygenation studies, and shows that $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{Ta}(1-\mathrm{Ta})$ preferred to cyclometalate to $(\text { silox })_{2}-$
$\mathrm{HTaOSi}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(5-\mathrm{Ta}, 87 \%, 14 \mathrm{~d})^{13}$ rather than deoxygenate $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{WNO}(2){ }^{14}$ to $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{WN}(\mathbf{4}, 12 \%),{ }^{14}$ whereas the smaller (silox) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~V}(\mathbf{1 - V})^{14}$ slowly ( $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) converted 2 to the nitride. $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}-4\right.$-picoline) $(1-\mathrm{Nb}-$ (4-pic), $S=0)^{11}$ and (silox $)_{3} \mathrm{NbPMe}_{3}\left(1-\mathrm{NbPMe}_{3}, S=1\right)^{14}$ deoxygenated 2 and formed 4 and (silox) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{NbO}(3-\mathrm{Nb})$ swiftly at first, then more slowly as the released 4-picoline and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ inhibited the reactions, respectively. With a 4-picoline scavenger (1-Ta) present in the former, swift cyclometalation to $(\text { silox })_{2}{ }^{-}$

[^0]$\mathrm{HNbOSi}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathbf{5}-\mathrm{Nb}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C},<5 \mathrm{~min}\right)^{14}$ competed with deoxygenation; $\mathbf{5 - N b}$ then slowly deoxygenated $\mathbf{2}$, presumably via reversible formation of $1-\mathrm{Nb}$. The thermodynamics of deoxygenation ${ }^{15}$ were investigated by high-level quantum calculations, ${ }^{16}$ with $(\mathrm{HO})_{3} \mathrm{M}$ serving as the model of respective tris-silox centers in $\mathbf{1 - M}$ and $\mathbf{3 - M}$. In each case the reaction was extremely exoergic ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \Delta G^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{rxn}}=-66 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Nb}$, $\mathrm{Ta},-100 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. With favorable thermodynamics, the uncompetitive ( $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{Ta}$ ) and relatively slow ( $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{V}, 1-\mathrm{Nb}$ ) deoxygenations are puzzling.

Since $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{~V}(1-\mathrm{V}, S=1)$ binds various L (L $=\mathrm{THF}$, py, etc.), while $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{Ta}(\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{Ta}, S=0)$ does not, ${ }^{17}$ the singlet and triplet states of $\mathbf{1 - M}$ were examined via quantum calculations. ${ }^{16}$ Figure 1 reveals that $1-\mathrm{V}$ is a triplet at the optimized geometries for $S=0\left(\left(\mathrm{~d}_{z}\right)^{2}\right)$ and $S=1\left(\left(\mathrm{~d}_{z}\right)^{1}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{x z} / \mathrm{d}_{y z}\right)^{1}\right)$, and the $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ barrier is $17 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, assuming a facile intersystem crossing. 1-Ta is a singlet at the optimized $S=0$ and $S=1$ geometries and its intersystem crossing barrier is $17 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. $1-\mathrm{Nb}$ is a singlet, but the conversion barrier to a triplet of nearly the same energy is 2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. If the approach of $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{WNO}(\mathbf{2})$ to the $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{M}$ center is linear because of intermolecular silox/silox interactions, then a $4 \mathrm{e}^{-}$repulsion will result in the case of $1-\mathrm{Ta}$, but successful docking to an $S=1$ intermediate $(\text { silox })_{3} M O N W(\text { silox })_{3}(1-$ $\mathrm{M}-2$ ) will occur for $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Nb}$. The additional $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}$ barrier forced on 1-Ta allows unimolecular cyclometalation to compete with the bimolecular deoxygenation of $\mathbf{2}$.

Table 1 lists the results of $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ deoxygenations by $(1-\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Ta})$ and $1-\mathrm{NbL}\left(\mathrm{L}=4\right.$-pic, $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$, which are predicted by quantum calculations to be exothermic for $\mathrm{V}(-15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and Nb or $\mathrm{Ta}(-45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$. Curiously, 1-Ta and 1-NbL both deoxygenated $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$, but failed with ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu} 3 \mathrm{PO} ; 1-\mathrm{Ta}$ cyclometalated to $5-\mathrm{Ta}, 1-\mathrm{Nb}(4-$ pic $)$ converted to $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{Nb}=$ $\mathrm{NCHCHCMeCHCH}=\mathrm{Nb}(\text { silox })_{3}\left(6 ; 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ and 4-picoline, ${ }^{18}$ and $1-\mathrm{NbPMe}_{3}$ decomposed. The inability to deoxygenate ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}{ }^{-}$ PO is not steric in origin, as an X-ray crystal structure of (silox) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~V}$ -$\mathrm{OP'Bu}_{3}\left(1-\mathrm{VOP}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3}\right)$ attests. $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ deoxygenation attempts with 1-V led to $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{~V}^{2}-\mathrm{OPR}_{3}\left(1-\mathrm{VOPR}_{3} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right),{ }^{14}$ and prolonged thermolysis $\left(100^{\circ} \mathrm{C},>20 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ of $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{VO}(3-\mathrm{V})$ with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ afforded some 1-VOPMe 3 , consistent with calculations that portray the phospine oxide adducts as the most stable species in the vanadium system. ${ }^{19,20}$

The S -T energetics of Figure 1 do not explain the slow rates of deoxygenation of (silox) $3_{3} \mathrm{WNO}(\mathbf{2})$ by $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{NbL}$ and $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{V}$, nor do they rationalize the disparate $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ results with $\mathbf{1 - T a}$ and $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{NbL}$. Is there an intrinsic problem to O -atom transfer for $\mathbf{2}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ ?

The smaller substrates $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ may attack $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{M}$ $(1-\mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Ta})$ at the side of the PO bond, whereas O -atom transfer from (silox) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{WNO}$ (2) and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ may be sterically restricted to occur linearly. ${ }^{17}$ With substantial thermodynamic impetus, the deoxygenations are swift as long as (silox) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OE}$

[^1]Table 1. $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{M}(1-\mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Ta}) /(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{NbL}\left(\mathrm{L}=4\right.$-pic, $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{EO} \rightarrow(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{MO}(3-\mathrm{M})+\mathrm{E}$ and Related Reactions $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}\right)$

| (silox) $)_{3} \mathrm{M} /(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{NbL}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 - M} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Ta} / \mathbf{1}-\mathrm{NbL}$ | EO | $\begin{gathered} (\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{MO}+\text { other products } \\ \text { 3-M; } \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Ta} \end{gathered}$ | E | $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | qualitative rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-V | $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{WNO}(2)$ | 3-V | $(\text { silox })_{3} \mathrm{WN}(4)$ | 85 | slow ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1-Nb(4-pic) | 2 | $3-\mathrm{Nb}+4$-pic | 4 | 85 | fast then slow ${ }^{b}$ |
| 1-NbPMe ${ }_{3}$ | 2 | $3-\mathrm{Nb}+\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ | 4 | 23 | fast then slow ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1-Nb(4-pic) $+1-\mathrm{Ta}$ | 2 | $3-\mathrm{Nb}+5-\mathrm{Nb}+1-\mathrm{Ta}(4-\mathrm{pic})$ | 4 | 23 | fast then slow ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1-Ta | 2 | 5-Ta (87\%), 3-Ta (12\%) | 4 (12\%) | $23^{e}$ | - |
| 1-V | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PO}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)$ | (silox) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{VOPR}_{3}\left(1 \mathrm{VVOPR}_{3}\right)$ | - | $100^{f}$ | - |
| 1-Nb(4-pic) | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ | $3-\mathrm{Nb}+4$-pic | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 23 | fast |
| 1-Nb(4-pic) | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ | $3-\mathrm{Nb}+4-\mathrm{pic}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 23 | fast then slow ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| 1-Nb(4-pic) | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ | $6^{h}$ | - | $85^{h}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1 - N b}(4-$ pic $)+\mathbf{1 - T a}$ | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PO}^{i}$ | $5-\mathrm{Nb}+1-\mathrm{Ta}(4-\mathrm{pic})$ | - | 23 | - |
| 1-NbPMe ${ }_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$ | $3-\mathrm{Nb}+\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 23 | fast |
| $1-\mathrm{NbPMe}_{3}$ | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PO}^{i}$ | no reaction ${ }^{j}$ | - | 23 | - |
| 1-Ta | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph})$ | 3-Ta | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 23 | fast |
| 1-Ta | ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ | 5-Ta | - | 85 | - |

${ }^{a} k \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} .{ }^{b}$ Inhibition by released 4-picoline. ${ }^{c}$ Inhibition by $\mathrm{PMe}_{3} ; 61 \%$ conversion after 2 d and $86 \%$ after 9 d ; with 8 equiv of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}, 10 \%$ conversion after $2 \mathrm{~d} .{ }^{d}$ Swift competitive deoxygenation and cyclometalation to $\mathbf{5 - N b} ; \mathbf{5}-\mathrm{Nb}$ then deoxygenates $\mathbf{2}$ slowly. ${ }^{e}$ At $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $11 \mathrm{~h}, 23 \%$ deoxygenation and $77 \% \mathbf{5 - T a} .{ }^{f}$ No deoxygenation after 75 d . For $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}+\mathbf{3}-\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}-\mathrm{VOPR}_{3}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, 70 \%$ conversion after 86 d $\left(100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), k \approx 2 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} .{ }^{g}$ Inhibition by released 4-pic; $50 \%$ conversion at $t \approx 0$ and $85 \%$ conversion at $t \approx 15 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{h} \mathrm{After} 35 \mathrm{~d}$ at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sparingly soluble 6 produced. ${ }^{i} 10$ equiv. ${ }^{j}$ Thermal degradation of $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{NbPMe}_{3}$ affords multiple products.


Figure 1. Energetics ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of $(\mathrm{HO}){ }_{3} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Nb}, \mathrm{Ta})$ as models for (silox) $)_{3} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{V}, \mathbf{1}-\mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{Nb}, \mathbf{1}-\mathrm{Nb} ; \mathrm{Ta}, \mathbf{1}-\mathrm{Ta}) . \mathrm{S}$ and T refer to the singlet and triplet energies at those optimized geometries. S@T refers to the singlet energy at the optimized triplet geometry. Intersystem crossing barriers are indicated by the middle vertical lines.


Figure 2. Orbital correlation diagram for generic $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{MO}+\mathrm{E}$; energetics are based on EHMO calculations of $\mathrm{M}=(\mathrm{HO})_{3} \mathrm{~V}$. Reactant ( $S=1$ ) states efficiently intersystem cross to product $S=0$ states when reactant excited states with populated $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals are mixed in effectively; the reduction in symmetry upon bending M-O-E facilitates this process.
(1-M-OE, $S=1$ ) can be accessed and an additional electronic factor revealed in the molecular orbital diagram in Figure 2 can be overcome. Orbitals of the reactant ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\left(C_{3 v}\right)(\mathrm{HO})_{3} \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OE}$ complex are shown correlating with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ product $(\mathrm{HO})_{3} \mathrm{MO}$ + E orbitals. There are only two $\sigma$-type orbitals on the reactantthe MO and OE bond pairs-but three on the products; lone pairs
on O and E , and the $\mathrm{MO} \sigma$-bond. Consequently, correlation of a reactant $\sigma^{*}$ orbital with a product $\sigma$-orbital is required in a linear O -atom transfer, but as the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}$ angle decreases, $\sigma$-character can be mixed into low-lying $\pi$-type orbitals. Intersystem crossing must occur at a maximum for a linear O-atom transfer, because significant mixing with an ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ excited-state derived from population of the $\sigma^{*}$-orbital is needed to ensure conversion from the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ (reactant) state to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ (product) state; ${ }^{21}$ the greater the degree of bending in the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}$ angle, the greater the $\sigma / \pi$ mixing, and intersystem crossing becomes more facile.

The mismatch in the numbers of occupied reactant and product $\sigma$-orbitals and its effect on intersystem crossing in O -atom transfer are related to several findings: (1) despite a $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ of $-82 \mathrm{kcal} /$ $\mathrm{mol}, \mathrm{N}_{2}$ scission in $\left[\left\{{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\left(3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \mathrm{N}\right\}_{3} \mathrm{Mo}\right]\left(\mu-\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ has an appreciable barrier ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=23.3$ (3) $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and requires a kink in the MoNNMo linkage to facilitate triplet reactant/singlet product intersystem crossing; ${ }^{22}$ (2) enantioselective epoxidations using Jacobsen's catalyst require an olefin to approach the Mn (oxo) at a low $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}$ angle; ${ }^{2,3,23}$ (3) O -atom transfers in bioinorganic systems have been calculated by DFT to occur via transition states with $\angle \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{E}$ near $90^{\circ} ;{ }^{24}$ (4) phosphine oxide chelation may enable the necessary geometry for O-atom transfer; ${ }^{25}$ and (5) O -atom transfers from $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{O}$ are subject to spinstate crossing effects. ${ }^{26}$
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